

REMARKS

Applicant amended independent claim 8 and dependent claims 17, 18 for further clarity, and cancelled claims 16, 20, all without prejudice.

35 USC 102/103

Neither Hu ('802), Ko ('797) nor Fried ('259), whether or not applied, either singly or in combination teaches, discloses or suggests Applicant's invention (claim 8, as amended) including "said first crystal plane being oriented differently than said second crystal plane, and wherein said surface oriented on said first crystal plane is substantially parallel to said surface oriented on said second crystal plane" in combination with the remaining elements of claim 8, as amended. Support exists, for example, in Fig. 9 as filed. Applicant believes the Examiner's comments 1-10 are addressed.

Hu discloses a multiple fin structure for a FinFet. However, the multiple fins in Hu are believed to have the same crystalline plane, because the top fin was grown from the bottom fin (as suggested in column 5 of Hu). Ko discloses different crystalline planes for planar MOSFETs. Fried discloses fins with different crystalline planes, but the fins having different crystalline planes do not appear to be parallel to each other.

Entry and allowance of claims 8-15, 17-19 are solicited.

Respectfully submitted,  
Hui long Zhu et al.

By:

*Joseph P. Abate*  
Joseph P. Abate  
Attorney for Applicant  
Registration No. 30,238  
Telephone No. 845-894-4633